

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/998,386 | BROWN ET AL. | |

| | | |
|------------------|-----------------|--|
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Maikhahan Nguyen | 2176 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: now allowed.

(1) Maikhahan Nguyen.

(3) ____.

(2) Volel Emile (Reg. No. 39,969).

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 12 January 2009

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A.

Claims discussed:

1, 12 ,23, 34.

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant authorized the Examiner to amend the claims as presented in the Examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Maikhahan Nguyen/
Examiner, Art Unit 2176

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)